


Q2 What catalogue \ system do you use to collect, manage and disseminate metadata?

Answered: 18 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
CKAN	11.11% 2
GeoNetwork	27.78% 5
ESRI GeoPortal	27.78% 5
Socrata	0.00% 0
Other (please specify)	33.33% 6
TOTAL	18

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	windows app	2/21/2019 10:44 AM
2	Geonetwork with OpenCMS draped over	2/15/2019 4:22 PM
3	ESRI Geoportal and GeoNetwork	2/14/2019 3:58 PM
4	Location Metadata System (LMS)	2/14/2019 11:49 AM
5	In-house developed system based on DIF.	2/12/2019 10:21 AM
6	Bespoke Oracle Application Express application	2/8/2019 5:39 PM

Q3 Are you managing this system within your agency, or is it managed centrally within government or another department i.e. (state.data.gov.au)?

Answered: 18 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Internal to my agency	88.89% 16
External to my agency	0.00% 0
Other (please specify)	11.11% 2
TOTAL	18

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	mostly internal but does include data from other directorates	2/12/2019 11:02 AM
2	In my agency to deliver service to all NTG Agencies - http://www.ntlis.nt.gov.au/metadata/	2/8/2019 5:39 PM

Q4 How old is your system / catalogue? i.e when was this system designed and implemented

Answered: 18 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
<2 years	16.67% 3
2-5 years	38.89% 7
5-10 years	22.22% 4
10+ years	22.22% 4
TOTAL	18

#	COMMENT	DATE
1	This system replaces a system established in 2003	2/19/2019 11:10 AM
2	There are numerous system of varying ages	2/18/2019 8:38 AM
3	Its based on system imlemented 17yrs ago	2/12/2019 2:51 PM
4	we are still using ESRI Open Data legacy system.	2/12/2019 11:02 AM
5	Implemented for ANZLIC metadata standard	2/8/2019 5:39 PM
6	Contains data migrated from 20 YO database	2/8/2019 4:10 PM

Q5 When was your system last updated?

Answered: 18 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
<2 years	50.00% 9
2-5 years	33.33% 6
5-10 years	5.56% 1
10+ years	11.11% 2
TOTAL	18

#	COMMENT	DATE
1	upgared from sybase to windows	2/21/2019 10:44 AM
2	Some minor patches for a full review that is now underway	2/19/2019 1:21 PM
3	Will be reviewed over next 12 months	2/18/2019 5:25 PM
4	Defence still have some systems on ANZLIC Metadata pre ISO	2/18/2019 8:38 AM
5	ongoing minor maintenance only	2/15/2019 4:22 PM
6	No resources to update/upgrade	2/12/2019 11:02 AM
7	Only system maintenance	2/8/2019 5:39 PM

Q6 How often do you significantly update this system?

Answered: 18 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
<1 year	5.56%	1
1-2 years	16.67%	3
2-5 years	33.33%	6
5-10 years	11.11%	2
Never updated	33.33%	6
TOTAL		18

#	COMMENT	DATE
1	It is on unsupported software.	2/19/2019 1:21 PM
2	Defence Procurement process is long - usually length is 20 yrs	2/18/2019 8:38 AM
3	current open data system remains unconfigured in a DEV environment	2/12/2019 11:02 AM
4	As needed to be honest.	2/12/2019 10:21 AM
5	Only updated when required for Oracle version upgrades	2/8/2019 5:39 PM

Q7 Are you planning to significantly update this system?

Answered: 18 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	72.22%	13
No	27.78%	5
TOTAL		18

#	WHEN HAVE YOU PLANNED FOR A SIGNIFICANT UPDATE?	DATE
1	2019 - moving to Geonetwork	2/21/2019 10:44 AM
2	Currently happening	2/19/2019 9:31 PM
3	Underway now for a cloud based integrated system for raster, point cloud and vector	2/19/2019 1:21 PM
4	Maybe - depending on review findings	2/18/2019 5:25 PM
5	in teh next 5-10 for the oldest system	2/18/2019 8:38 AM
6	no plans at this stage	2/15/2019 4:22 PM
7	Elements are to be addressed and not currently planned	2/14/2019 3:58 PM
8	Unplanned	2/14/2019 11:49 AM
9	2019	2/12/2019 2:51 PM
10	need to find resources first	2/12/2019 11:02 AM
11	TBD	2/11/2019 10:07 AM
12	To Implement a catalogue that caters for GDA2020 datum	2/8/2019 5:39 PM
13	In the next year or so	2/8/2019 4:51 PM

Q8 For system design, updates and maintenance do you have the capability and capacity internally to do so, or do you depend on external support?

Answered: 18 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Internally capable	66.67% 12
Require external support	33.33% 6
TOTAL	18

#	COMMENT	DATE
1	With limited capacity we engage externally from time to time	2/19/2019 1:21 PM
2	Major contract	2/18/2019 8:38 AM
3	require bit of outside support	2/15/2019 5:45 PM
4	some internal knowledge capacity	2/15/2019 4:22 PM
5	For operational needs only. Extra resources required for upgrade/update	2/14/2019 3:58 PM
6	Appication support service contract with private sector service provider	2/8/2019 5:39 PM
7	Both internal and external, as we currently fork off the main branch of GeoNetwork	2/8/2019 4:51 PM

Q9 Does this system automatically integrate with other metadata catalogues such as data.gov.au or national map through CSW mechanisms? Or does it require manual integration?

Answered: 18 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes: Completely automated through CSW's	55.56% 10
No: Manual integration is required	44.44% 8
TOTAL	18

#	COMMENT	DATE
1	hoping this will connect to internal and external systems	2/21/2019 10:44 AM
2	With the patches in place to make it happen but the core service does not	2/19/2019 1:21 PM
3	Once the external version is published in a hosted cloud environment	2/19/2019 11:10 AM
4	Air gapped - separate system.	2/18/2019 8:38 AM
5	URL links to reports	2/14/2019 11:49 AM
6	data.gov.au could be able to automatically harvest from CSW	2/12/2019 2:51 PM
7	manual setup with data ACT and National Map - noting National Map identified data issues in 2018. Although fixed, no ACTGOV data available from National Map still	2/12/2019 11:02 AM
8	Metadata made available via an OAI-PMH, so other organisations can harvest them.	2/12/2019 10:21 AM
9	Its been tested but not integrated	2/11/2019 10:07 AM
10	However, some mapping is poor (in regards to data.gov.au)	2/8/2019 4:51 PM
11	Numerous external Agencies harvest our data	2/8/2019 4:10 PM

Q10 What standards does the catalogue comply to?

Answered: 15 Skipped: 3




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
19115 / 19139	60.00%	9
19115-1 / 19115-3	40.00%	6
TOTAL		15

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Includes ISO 19157 and ISO 19110	2/19/2019 11:10 AM
2	Basic requirements only. Not based on any specific schema.	2/14/2019 11:49 AM
3	ESRI ArcGIS Online xml	2/12/2019 11:02 AM
4	Very soon will be 19115-1/-3 compliant. Conversion process almost complete.	2/12/2019 10:21 AM
5	AS/NZS ISO 19115 Geographic Information - Metadata, ANZLIC Metadata Profile V 1.0	2/8/2019 5:39 PM

Q11 Does this system also collect metadata about web services, software or applications?

Answered: 18 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	44.44%	8
No	55.56%	10
TOTAL		18

#	COMMENT	DATE
1	in planning stage	2/21/2019 10:44 AM
2	However we wish to implement this in future	2/18/2019 5:25 PM
3	It distributes metadata about web services, software and applications	2/12/2019 2:51 PM

Q12 Is the application hosted internally, or in the cloud?

Answered: 18 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Internal infrastructure and only accessible internally	27.78% 5
Internal infrastructure and accessible externally	27.78% 5
Cloud hosted and accessible externally	44.44% 8
TOTAL	18

#	COMMENT	DATE
1	in planning stage	2/21/2019 10:44 AM
2	Two instances, internal for internal + cloud for external	2/19/2019 11:10 AM
3	May be redeveloped on a cloud server	2/18/2019 5:25 PM
4	Both internal for internal and could for external	2/12/2019 2:51 PM
5	http://actmapi-actgov.opendata.arcgis.com/	2/12/2019 11:02 AM
6	PaaS from Service provider	2/8/2019 5:39 PM

Q13 Does this application host metadata for multiple organisations?

Answered: 18 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	44.44% 8
No	27.78% 5
Comment	27.78% 5
TOTAL	18

#	COMMENT	DATE
1	only just - historic material only	2/19/2019 11:10 AM
2	host metadata for multiple Defence production groups.	2/18/2019 8:38 AM
3	Internal for multiple, external only historic for others	2/12/2019 2:51 PM
4	mostly ACTGOV EPSDD	2/12/2019 11:02 AM
5	All NTG Agencies	2/8/2019 5:39 PM

Q14 Does you have a need to manage metadata specifically related to imagery eg 19115-2?

Answered: 17 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	70.59%	12
No	29.41%	5
TOTAL		17

#	COMMENT	DATE
1	Satellite, aerial, drone	2/19/2019 9:31 PM
2	but use 19115	2/15/2019 4:22 PM
3	not sure of number, but need to manage imagery metadata	2/12/2019 11:02 AM

Q15 Do you have a need to manage metadata for information preservation and archiving purposes eg. 19165?

Answered: 16 Skipped: 2



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	56.25%	9
No	43.75%	7
TOTAL		16

#	COMMENT	DATE
1	We retain all records as active	2/19/2019 11:10 AM
2	Not that I'm aware of	2/18/2019 5:25 PM
3	Unsure	2/14/2019 3:58 PM
4	not sure of numbers, but will need to manage metadata for archived data	2/12/2019 11:02 AM
5	But we probably should?	2/8/2019 5:39 PM
6	I believe we are moving into this space	2/8/2019 4:10 PM


Q16 What is unique about your catalogue, making it specific to your organisation and different to the traditional metadata standards and off the shelf packages.

Answered: 17 Skipped: 1

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	sole repository for Victorian Gov (vector, raster, services)	2/21/2019 10:44 AM
2	Use specific text in specific metadata elements for metadata application front end to display the right tools.	2/19/2019 9:31 PM
3	Feature level metadata	2/19/2019 1:21 PM
4	Improvements to search interface. Improvements to editing interface	2/19/2019 11:10 AM
5	Additional Defence security information extension.	2/18/2019 8:38 AM
6	the catalogue provide details about all the datasets hosted by our organisation. the catalogue have our organisation colour schema as well.	2/15/2019 5:45 PM
7	it i integrated with other components of the LIST infrastructure being: LISTmap (mapping application); LIST web services (REST end point) and external open data channels	2/15/2019 4:22 PM
8	Our Geoportal is customised for packaged data distribution	2/14/2019 3:58 PM
9	Custom built for SA government agencies spatial data catalogue	2/14/2019 11:49 AM
10	Nothing that can't be handled by ISO 19115-3 and ISO 19110	2/12/2019 2:51 PM
11	not much, out of the box open data. Perhaps knowledge is lacking but seems that data and metadata need to be handled separately. Note that we have no direct connection to databases for this system	2/12/2019 11:02 AM
12	We have obligations to the international Antarctic community, hence we use a different metadata standard to the rest of the Aust. Govt. However, we have developed our own version of the tool for managing the DIF metadata standard, as we felt the supplied NASA software was not useful or intuitive (and we need an in-house solution to integrate with our own systems).	2/12/2019 10:21 AM
13	It is standard based.	2/11/2019 10:07 AM
14	Uses a database and contains data dictionary information	2/8/2019 5:39 PM
15	We utilise the marine community profile (MCP 2.0) for our metadata, which is specific to Australian marine organisations. We also utilise Geonetwork - to build a search index based on our AODN controlled vocabularies for the AODN Portal, - has a separate plug-in for online resource monitoring (for example: checking of OGC Web Services, such as WMS or WFS), - and utilise our metadata to host our Portal configuration.	2/8/2019 4:51 PM
16	Open source, with internal developers to customise to our business model	2/8/2019 4:10 PM
17	It uses a profile of DCAT (revised) requiring data to use certain classifiers relevant to the domain of the catalogue - the energy sector.	2/8/2019 3:48 PM

Q17 What is the major challenge you face in managing your catalogue / system?

Answered: 18 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Standards	5.56% 1
Configuration	5.56% 1
Usability	0.00% 0
Culture	16.67% 3
Budget	11.11% 2
Staff	0.00% 0
Skills	0.00% 0
Other (please specify)	61.11% 11
TOTAL	18


#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Geonetwork is new to our organisation - need education	2/21/2019 10:44 AM
2	Having the right people create the metadata i.e. those that know the data/ subject resource. So it is partly "culture"	2/19/2019 9:31 PM
3	getting all the functional & non-functional requirements satisfied in one system.	2/19/2019 1:21 PM
4	All of the above - resources not available	2/18/2019 5:25 PM

Baseline Metadata Catalogue - System survey

5	configuration, skills to enhance functionalities of Geonetwork and standards	2/15/2019 5:45 PM
6	probably culture (no body likes doing metdata), standard (potentially asks for too much info)	2/15/2019 4:22 PM
7	System maintenance (ie. keeping it up to date)	2/14/2019 3:58 PM
8	I'd like to pick Standards, Usability, Staff and Skills	2/12/2019 11:02 AM
9	All of the above	2/11/2019 10:07 AM
10	Time	2/8/2019 4:51 PM
11	Remediation of legacy data	2/8/2019 4:10 PM

Q18 Is there a desire to move towards a shared infrastructure.

Answered: 17 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	58.82%	10
No	41.18%	7
TOTAL		17

#	COMMENT	DATE
1	But not opposed to shared infrastructure as long as our data delivery mechanisms can still operate in the same way.	2/19/2019 9:31 PM
2	if can be demonstrated as being beneficial/satisfactory	2/19/2019 1:21 PM
3	Unless a good option is made available	2/19/2019 11:10 AM
4	However this is shared infrastructure within Defence.	2/18/2019 8:38 AM
5	we can look into it if appropriate service is available.	2/15/2019 5:45 PM
6	not sure what this means - a national infrastructure??	2/15/2019 4:22 PM
7	Open to the idea	2/14/2019 3:58 PM
8	SA Government agencies would like to comply with a standard however overhead in complying has hindered progress	2/14/2019 11:49 AM
9	Many of these questions are poorly worded to extract the answers to the questions that need to be asked	2/12/2019 2:51 PM
10	would love it	2/12/2019 11:02 AM
11	Maybe? That's for the manager to decide.	2/12/2019 10:21 AM
12	Depending on the business needs	2/11/2019 10:07 AM
13	If the price (and service) is right	2/8/2019 5:39 PM
14	Its already open-source	2/8/2019 4:51 PM